1 2 3 4 5 6 7	GRAHAM E. BERRY, Bar No.128503 Attorney at Law 3384 McLaughlin Avenue Los Angeles, California 90066-2005 Telephone: (310) 745-3771 Facsimile: (310) 745-3771 Email: grahamberry@ca.rr.com Defendant and Cross-Complainant pro se	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Count of California County of Los Angeles FEB 16 2010 John A. Clarke: Executive Officer/Glerk By GLORIETTA ROBINSON CONTACT OF CALIFORNIA
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF	T LOS ANGELES
10	CENTRA	L DISTRICT
11	KENDRICK MOXON	
12) Case No. BC429217
13	Plaintiff, v.	
14	GRAHAM BERRY,) DEFENDANT AND CROSS-
15	Defendants.) COMPLAINANT'S APPENDIX NO. III) OF EXHIBITS AND REQUEST FOR) JUDICIAL NOTICE FILED AS PART OF
16 17	GRAHAM E. BERRY, an individual;	THE UNVERIFIED ANSWER AND VERIFIED COMPULSARY CROSS- COMPLAINT HEREIN.
18	Cross-Complainant, v.) Action filed: January 5, 2010
19	KENDRICK L. MOXON, an individual;	
20	Cross-Defendant.	 [Filed concurrently with: (1) Judicial Council of California Form MC-701 (C.C.P. §391.7; (2) Appendix No. I of Exhibits [Exhibit A]; (4) Appendix No. II of Exhibits [Exhibits B-D]; Unverified answer and verified cross-
21		(2) Appendix No. 1 of Exhibits [Exhibits B-
22		D]; Unverified answer and verified cross-complaint]
23		
24		$E \times E(2)$
25		State of
26		
27		
28		
_0		
		1
	RE	

EXHIBIT E (2)

1	138. After my Sonoma truck was repossessed on October 6 th 1998, Moxon called and said "go
2 3	and get a new car if I you want." I immediately went to a Saturn dealer in Palm Springs and
4	selected the auto that I wanted. The salesperson at Saturn was given Moxon's phone number and
5	Moxon was called. Moxon arranged and closed the lease agreement in his name, and I drove the
6	new Saturn off the lot two hours later.
8	139.On October 8 th 1998, and after some numerous phone conversations between Moxon and
9	Snodgrass, Snodgrass offered to pay off my legal debt in New Jersey. Moxon provided bank
LO	wire information by fax to Snodgrass. The communication infuriated Snodgrass because he had
12	offered only as much as it could be negotiated down to. Moxon wanted all the money,
L3 L4	\$18,500.00, wired into his trust account. Snodgrass then refused to help.
	140.I learned on July 24, 2000, that on October 9, 1998 Berry filed a PROPOSED VERIFIED
L5	
L5 L6	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES
L6 L7	
L6	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES
L6 L7 L8 L9 L20	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR LIBEL PER SE, SLANDER AND INVASION OF PRIVACY. From this filing, I
L6 L7 L8 L9 20	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR LIBEL PER SE, SLANDER AND INVASION OF PRIVACY. From this filing, I learned that on March 18, 1998 my May 5, 1994 Declaration accompanied by statements that
L6 L7 L8 L9 L20	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR LIBEL PER SE, SLANDER AND INVASION OF PRIVACY. From this filing, I learned that on March 18, 1998 my May 5, 1994 Declaration accompanied by statements that Berry was under investigation for child molestation was provided by Moxon, Ingram and
L6 L7 L8 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR LIBEL PER SE, SLANDER AND INVASION OF PRIVACY. From this filing, I learned that on March 18, 1998 my May 5, 1994 Declaration accompanied by statements that Berry was under investigation for child molestation was provided by Moxon, Ingram and Scientology to:
L6 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR LIBEL PER SE, SLANDER AND INVASION OF PRIVACY. From this filing, I learned that on March 18, 1998 my May 5, 1994 Declaration accompanied by statements that Berry was under investigation for child molestation was provided by Moxon, Ingram and Scientology to: a) various German journalists in Hamburg;
L6 L7 L8 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9 L9	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR LIBEL PER SE, SLANDER AND INVASION OF PRIVACY. From this filing, I learned that on March 18, 1998 my May 5, 1994 Declaration accompanied by statements that Berry was under investigation for child molestation was provided by Moxon, Ingram and Scientology to: a) various German journalists in Hamburg; b) the Foundation for Educational Research;
16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR LIBEL PER SE, SLANDER AND INVASION OF PRIVACY. From this filing, I learned that on March 18, 1998 my May 5, 1994 Declaration accompanied by statements that Berry was under investigation for child molestation was provided by Moxon, Ingram and Scientology to: a) various German journalists in Hamburg; b) the Foundation for Educational Research; c) the Labor Day LA organization;

Page 48

Child. He convinced the Board not to work, in any manner with Day Of The Child, because the question came up as to why I delayed so long to talk about Berry if I really wanted to help kids. Additionally, Virginia Van Zandt, another CCA Board member had had a dreadful encounter with Moxon when Moxon had attacked an actor from the television show (M.A.S.H) because he had come out publicly against the Church Of Scientology.

144.On November 3rd 1998, Moxon asked that I come up to Los Angeles to meet with him at the 6255 Sunset office. During this visit he told me that I'm very excited that we don't need Snodgrass's money; I am able to get the \$20,000.00 from someone whose identity I can't talk about, but that the person was very famous." Moxon said, "If anyone ever found out about this I would be disbarred for sure." Of course, my being curious, I said, "Tom Cruise and Nicole Kidman?" He said "No." I said, "Kirstie?" He said, "No." I said, "Don't tell me, Travolta?" He said, "Ok, I won't tell you it was John." I said, "Jesus, can we get him to be our national spokesperson for Day Of The Child?" Moxon said to "write a personal letter to Travolta and make it c/o Steve Hayes, he is John's personal attorney and one of my partners." Moxon received the \$20,000.00 and wired or sent a check to Lloyd Levenson, Esq., in Atlantic City, New Jersey for \$20,000.00. The outstanding amount due was \$18,500.00.

letters (on Moxon & Kobrin's FedEx Account (FedEx 17133071-8) to Clearwater, Florida. One of these was to Timothy Bowles, another to Isadore Chait at 200 North Osceola Avenue Clearwater Florida 33755. The letter packages were regarding Day Of The Child. On November 20th 1998, I received a check from Moxon, from Isadore Chait, in the amount of

1	\$1,000.00 made payable to Day Of The Child c/o Moxon & Kobrin 6255 Sunset Boulevard,
2 3	Suite 2000, Los Angeles, California.
4	146. Moxon told me that Chait wanted to perform at the World Concert for the Day Of The
5	Child, that he was a jazz musician. I said I had no problem with that request, that he could
6	perform during the late hours of the evening as it was going to be a 24 hour non-stop concert.
8	Moxon also said, "Izzy is a funny guy, one day he is rich and the next he is as broke as a church
9	mouse."
LO	147.I immediately opened an account at Bank Of America in the name of The Day Of The Child
L2	World Concert, Inc. with the corporate address at 6255 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 2000, Los
L3	Angeles, California, which was Moxon's front office, meaning he used that office for some of his
L4 L5	clients. His real office was in the Scientology building around the corner in Hollywood in the
L6	Scientology Office Of Special Affairs.
L7 L8	148.I learned on July 24, 2000 that on December 1, 1998 Moxon/Soter filed FORM
19	INTERROGATORIES SET ONE, SET TWO, SET THREE, SET FOUR, SET FIVE, SET
20	SIX, SET SEVEN, SET EIGHT AND SET. I had no knowledge of this filing.
21	149.I learned on July 24, 2000 that on December 4, 1998, Moxon/Soter filed SPECIAL
23	INTERROGATORY SET ONE. I had no knowledge of this filing.
24	150.I learned on July 24, 2000 that on December 4, 1998, Moxon/Soter filed a
25	DECLARATION FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY. I had no knowledge of this filing.
27	151.I learned on July 24, 2000 that on December 8, 1998, Moxon/Soter and Paul, Hastings,
28	Janofsky & Walker filed a NOTICE OF TAKING ORAL DEPOSITION OF DR. GARY
12000	

CORGIAT AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AT TIME OF

TAKING DEPOSITION. In the Berry v. Cipriano and Berry v. Barton cases. In this filing the attorneys were requesting all medical records on Berry. I had no knowledge of this request or filing.

152.On December 13, 1998, Moxon introduced John Ryan a Scientologist, to me for the purposes of joining Day Of The Child. Moxon explained that "Ryan is a top executive at Polygram Records, you need to meet him so he can help Day Of The Child." After meeting Ryan in Moxon's office, I realized that he was a record producer, however did not work for Polygram Records, instead had a home business called Chicago Kid Productions. I learned that Ryan had been a record producer for STYX in the 1970's and had been involved with other projects. Ryan agreed that Day Of The Child was a great project, however in our selection process for beneficiary children's charities that we needed to select certain charities that stood up against the mental health establishment. Ryan demanded that Day Of The Child endorse Scientology organizations that were supporting the attacks, lawsuits and other tactics against the use of Ritalin with children. I became very disturbed with Ryan's wanting to exchange his services for Day Of The Child supporting their Scientology based causes.

COMPLAINT to sign indicating that I read and answered all the questions correctly. I signed it and handed it back. I was never given the Second Amendment Complaint or the Answers; I just signed the Verification.

153. Also on December 13, 1998 at this same meeting, Moxon gave me a piece of paper that said

VERIFICATION TO CIPRIANO'S VERIFIED ANSWERS TO SECOND AMENDMENT

27

28

154. During this same visit to Moxon's office in early December with Ryan, Steven Lewis called for Moxon. Judy Ross, Moxon's secretary, advised Moxon that Steve Lewis was on the phone. Moxon said, "ok, thank you" to Judy Ross and looked to me and said, "Watch this." From the tone of Moxon's voice while talking with Lewis, it was obvious to me that they were having fun. Moxon kept looking back at me and smiling. Moxon was asking questions regarding Berry; where he kept various things, and what was his response to this thing and that thing. After the telephone conversation ended between Lewis and Moxon. Moxon said, "I forgot to tell you that Lewis and Scali decided to terminate their law practice with Berry and they want to work with us." I was truly amazed at the turn of events. Moxon said "I'm just getting dirty laundry from Lewis on Berry." In fact, Moxon said "Lewis was seeking advice from me on how to get out from under Berry's request that Lewis remain in place as counsel for Berry." 155.I learned on July 24, 2000 that on December 14, 1998 Moxon/Soter filed DEFENDANT CIPRIANO'S VERIFIED ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT. Once again, I never read the Second Amended Complaint or gave any answers to said Complaint; therefore Moxon/Soter filed this document without my knowledge or approval. 156.I learned in July 24, 2000 that on December 11, 1998 Berry filed PLAINTIFF GRAHAM E. BERRY'S REVISED RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT GLENN BARTON'S FIRST SET **OF SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES** in the Berry v. Cipriano action, after reading Berry's Response To Special Interrogatory No. 22, which is comprised of 359 paragraphs of testimony from Berry detailing events commencing December 1993 through May, 1998, I now have an intimate knowledge of how I was utilized by the Church Of Scientology, and all related entities

involved in the litigation against Berry, as a pivotal instrument in the defamation and slander of his professional and personal life. The fact that on April 27, 1994, eight days before Ingram arrived, uninvited at my New York home he was brought back from England and instructed to take over the Church Of Scientology investigation of Berry, at the specific instructions of Michael Rinder, Michael Hertzberg, Elliot Abelson, Kendrick Moxon, Timothy Bowles and Jonathan Lubell, is a clear-cut demonstration of the contents of the Declaration and that of my August 9, 1999 and September 25, 1999 Declarations.

157.In mid December 1998, Moxon, Ryan and I had another meeting, wherein they both introduced me to the Scientology based "Org. [Organization] System," Moxon typed it out and printed a copy which was handed to me. Ryan was said and demanded that "Moxon and he could fill in each of the Org. departments with Scientology personnel," which would leave me out of the picture, other than as an ambassadorial representative.

158.I have learned on July 24, 2000 that on December 14, 1998 that Moxon/Soter filed DEFENDANT CIPRIANO'S VERIFIED ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED

COMPLAINT in Berry v. Cipriano. I had no knowledge of this Verified Answer. I had never read the Verified Second Amended Complaint. On December 13, 1998 Moxon faxed to Palm Springs a single sheet Verification document, which is instructed me to sign and fax back to him in Los Angeles.

159.On December 22 1998, Levenson of Cooper, Perskie & Levenson law firm called me and advised me that he was negotiating with the authorities in Atlantic City, New Jersey, and he thought that he could get them down from the balance of \$18,500.00 to around \$12,000.00. He

indicated that Moxon had wired him \$20,000.00 and that was more than enough. He indicated he would call back with the final offer.

160.On December 23, 1998, Levenson called me again and told me he settled for \$9,500.00 and he was happy. I agreed and said settle it. Later that day, we spoke again and he wired \$2500.00 into Day Of The Child bank account. In the following month, on January 11th 1999, and January 25th 1999, he wired an additional \$1,400.00 and \$988.55 respectively into Day Of The Child bank account.

161.Between November, 1998, and June, 1999, most if not all of the transactions, financially and professionally, were handled through Day Of The Child World Concert, Inc.'s bank account.

162.In late December 1998, I held a Day Of The Child Christmas Party in Palm Springs. Moxon and Ryan attended. Ryan and Moxon took me outside for approximately 30 minutes and berated me on how Scientology could take over Day Of The Child. Moxon said "this damn thing is taking off, I have never seen anything like it." Ryan said "now is the time that we start placing

the proper people in the proper places as we discussed a couple weeks ago." Moxon said "Robert after Christmas I want you to bring all the files up to Hollywood, we will sit down and figure this out." I said I don't believe we need any further overhead right now, what we need is the donations you promised." Ryan said "you are not going to get one dime in donations unless all the proper people are in the org." Moxon said, yes Robert we need our donors to be comfortable." I said, this was not the original deal Rick, anyway, my guest are waiting, I am going back in."

163.I was out of money and sold my computer system for money Christmas presents for my family and told Rick on December 17th, 1998. Moxon said to "come up to my office later this afternoon and I will square that away." Moxon and I rode in my car to a Circuit City on Hollywood Boulevard and selected a computer/printer for me. Moxon purchased at Packard-Bell Computer for me on one of his credit cards. The charge was approximately \$1,000.00 for the CPU, monitor and printer. Moxon helped me carry it to my car and I returned him to his office, and returned to Palm Springs.

waiting for me. At this meeting, Ingram said, in front of Moxon, "we have a group of Scientologists that just plastered Berry's neighborhood with flyers advising all his neighbors he was a child molester & pedophile." Ingram also said "I have spies and operatives in a gay nightclub called Numbers in West Hollywood." Ingram also told me, "there is a young man named Hurtado, a street hustler, who I found, who will say that he went home with Berry and a couple underage boys one night. Further, get this, that Berry drugged the boys and had sex with them in front of this kid." Ingram also stated that "Berry was exchanging legal services for sex with this Hurtado person and that I'm going to file another Bar Complaint against Berry the Fairy."

165. Furthermore, Ingram stated that "Berry is trying to serve me and could not serve me." I asked him why? Ingram laughed at that thought, saying "Berry does not even know what I look like and I have been as close a five inches from him a number of times without idiot knowing.

Ingram saw I was not real happy with everything he was saying. Ingram added, " listen the

litigation and scare tactics we use against Berry is just to make him go away and leave the Church of Scientology alone."

166. Ingram said that "Berry had a roommate who was on the cover of some XY gay magazine, some porno magazine and guess what, there is a caption next to this kid's picture that says jailbait." Ingram was looking for information on the publisher of that magazine to ascertain how old the roommate was when the pictures were taken.

167. Moxon also informed me that they had attached Berry's bank accounts and that Berry was leaving the country for good. Furthermore, that, "Scientology finally achieved what they wanted."

168. As part of my Day Of The Child day to day activities, I would routinely interview children's charities to review their operations. This is a process that must be conducted in order for them to be a beneficiary of the proceeds of our events. I interviewed a gentleman named Jason Whitman who organized and manages The Los Angeles Youth Council on Santa Monica Blvd., in West Hollywood. The LA Youth Council works with males who are caught up with gay street prostitution. It attempts to provide them with a career, housing and guidance.

169. Moxon and Ingram thought it would be a good idea to have Mr. Whitman, who works with numerous male prostitutes talk to the young men and distribute flyers with a picture of Berry around West Hollywood. If anyone knew of Berry or had any information on him they were guided to call a number on the flyer. The information would be passed on to Ingram for use by Moxon against Berry. A young man came forward named Anthony Apodaca, who said he knew of or had seen Berry. This information was passed on to Ingram and Moxon and Ingram was

1 sent to meet with Apodaca to obtain a Declaration. Mr. Whitman told me in a telephone 2 conversation in May 1999 that Ingram took Apodaca to a hotel room, threatened him and paid 3 him \$300.00 for a Declaration. I do not know if a Declaration was obtained or filed; however, I 4 5 do know that Apodaca was terrified and went in to hiding or disappeared at that same time. 6 170. On January 6th 1999, Moxon moved both Leslie Lamborn and me to 1050 Racquet Club 7 Road in Palm Springs. Moxon signed the lease, "Kendrick Moxon for Leslie and Robert 8 9 Cipriano as occupants." The rent was \$1,295.00 per month and the lease ran for one year. 10 171.In January 1999, Moxon advised and created a Stipulation Of The Parties between Leslie 11 Keene Lamborn, my girlfriend and Jeff Appel her ex-boyfriend, on my behalf, free of charge. 12 13 172. In early January 1999, Ms. Lamborn and I had serious differences and Moxon asked 14 Lamborn and me to meet him and Ryan at a restaurant in Malibu and then proceeded to go to a 15 secluded beach front in Malibu, California. Moxon and Ryan wanted me close to them and away 16 17 from Leslie Lamborn and Donald Snodgrass. I moved out of the house at the request of Moxon 18 and back to Los Angeles, where I moved into a friend's house near Marina Del Rey. 19 20 173.In mid January 1999, Moxon and Ryan met me at a restaurant in Glendale to have lunch. 21 We discussed Day Of The Child and its progress. After lunch, Moxon asked me to sit in his car 22 and we discussed Troy Glick. Glick was a friend and previous employee of mine in New York. 23 24 Glick also was witness to Berry and Spiegelman and my activities during 1984 and 1985. 25 Moxon asked me, "How can you get this Troy Glick to come over to our side?" "Can you go to 26 New York and talk to him and get him to say he saw Berry doing all the things we talked about?" 27

I said, I would think about it. Moxon said "I don't think we are going to be able to help you with

Declaration of Robert J.Cipriano .

28

28

Day Of The Child anymore." I understood that if I wanted any further support for Day Of The Child, I would have to get Glick to lie and turn on Berry.

173. Moxon called me in early February 1999 and advised me that Berry had dismissed Krim in the Berry v. Cipriano action and Chait in Berry v. Barton action and that he and was about to dismiss me in his action. I met with Moxon the next day and had him discuss the legal jargon regarding the dismissal Moxon said that the lawsuit was over for me. I asked him, could Berry ever come back and re-file? Moxon said, "No."

DECLARATION OF GRAHAM E. BERRY RE: PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S CHAIT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY ORDER RE: FORM INTERROGATORIES, REQUEST FOR CASE MANAGEMENT, PROTECTIVE ORDER AND SANCTIONS. In this filing, which I never saw, Berry stated that I refused to answer most of my interrogatories based on the contrived allegation that a settlement communication he made to me was a threat to my safety. Moxon convinced me that it was threat. Even after I wanted to settle with Berry as evidenced in my communication letter to Berry, faxed to Moxon for review and a legality edit. Moxon did not even review the Interrogatory questions orally with me.

176. Berry continues to state that Kendrick Moxon has taken information from his personal medical records, subject to a protective order, and constantly mocks him front of others with the information. Berry continues and states that on January 25, 1999 one of Moxon's investigators visited a client of his (HURTADO) and read the client, and his family, portions of his deposition,

resulting in Hurtado changing attorneys from Berry to Moxon. That very same day, Berry states that at 5:30 p.m. he made a call to his psychiatrist and made an appointment for the next day. The telephone call was made from his home telephone. He kept his appointment at 10:30 a.m. the next morning. Moments after he left the doctor's office someone calling himself Kendrick Jackson called the doctor and wanted to know if he was still there or if he had left. This person finally admitted that his name was Kendrick Moxon and then demanded a deposition of the doctor. Previously, Berry had discovered his phone line being linked into an internal phone line at the Church Of Scientology where Moxon's office is and his co-counsel in other matters, Elliot Abelson, Esq., came onto the phone line and confirmed that his phone was linked into an internal Scientology phone line.

177. Additionally, Berry attached as part of this filing an Exhibit "E" entitled THE

SCIENTOLOGY DEFENDANT'S "FAIR GAME" POLICES AND PRACTICES WHICH
ARE AT THE CORE OF PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT IN THIS AND THE RELATED

CASES." In this Exhibit, Berry outlines in paragraph 78, "Some of the written polices and practices that were, and still are, specifically implemented against Plaintiff (Berry) as hereinafter described are set forth below:

3. Enemy: "Fair Game" may be deprived of property injured by any means by any Scientologists without any discipline of the Scientologist. May be tricked, sued, or lied to or destroyed." (Hereinafter the "Fair Game Policy Letter", CHO PL 18 October 1967)
Despite public disavowal of the term "Fair Game," Scientology retains the policy itself in full force and practice, if not in name. "

1 178.In paragraph 84 of Exhibit "E" THE SCIENTOLOGY DEFENDANTS "FAIR GAME" 2 POLICIES AND PRACTICES, Berry quotes, Commodore Hubbard's Manual Of Justice 3 where he states: "people attack Scientology: I never forget it, always even the score. Hubbard 4 5 subdivides the administration of justice into four phase's (a) intelligence activities: (b) 6 investigation of evidence; (c) judgement or punishment; and (d) rehabilitation." In paragraph 85 7 Berry quotes Hubbard as saying "overt investigation of someone or something attacking us by an 8 outside detective agency should be done more often and hand the expense. Hire them and damn 10 the costs when you need to." Berry continues in paragraph 85, The policy also states that 11 "Scientology "punishment" is gruesome to see sometimes and in this instance there are men 12 13 hiding in terror on Earth because they found out what they were attacking. There are men dead 14 because they attacked us - for instance Dr. Joe Winter. There are men bankrupt because they 15 attacked is - Purcell, Rideway, Seppos" In the same policy and practice manual, Hubbard also 16 17 writes "remember that - by investigation alone we can curb pushes and crush wildcat people and 18 unethical Dianetics and Scientology organizations. 19 179.In paragraph 86 of Exhibit "E" THE SCIENTOLOGY DEFENDANTS "FAIR GAME" 20 21 POLICIES AND PRACTICES, Berry quotes The Scientologist Manual Of Dissemination of 22 Materials "The purpose of the suit is to harass and discourage rather than to win. The law 23 24 can be used very easily to harass, and enough harassment on somebody who is simply on the thin 25 edge anyway, well knowing that he is not authorized, would generally be sufficient to cause his 26 professional decease. If possible, of course, ruin utterly." 27 28

1	180.In paragraph 89 of Exhibit "E" THE SCIENTOLOGY DEFENDANTS "FAIR GAME"
2	POLICIES AND PRACTICES, Berry says the Scientologist Defendants also have a written
4	policy and practice of using "manufactured" allegations as a threat. On August 15, 1960, the
5	Scientology enterprises Department Of Government Affairs was established with a policy
6	written by Hubbard. In that issue, Hubbard ordered: "If attacked on some vulnerable point by
7 8	anybody or anything or any organization, always find or manufacture enough threat against
9	them to cause then to sue for peace. Peace is bought with an exchange of advantage, so make
10	the advantage and then settle. Don't ever defend. Always attack. Don't ever do nothing.
11 12	Unexpected attacks in the rear of the enemies front ranks works best."
13	181.In paragraph 111 of Exhibit "E" THE SCIENTOLOGY DEFENDANTS "FAIR GAME"
14 15	POLICIES AND PRACTICES, Berry continues with "Following the ostensible cancellation o
16	Fair Game on 21 October, 19666, the Scientologist Defendants instituted a new HCO PL of 16
17	February 1969 entitled "Confidential Targets, Defense" in which are listed vital targets in which
18	the Defendants must invest most of their time:
20	T1 Depopularizing the enemy to a point of total obliteration.
21	Taking over the control of allegiance of the heads or the proprietors of all news media.
22	T3 Taking over the control or allegiance of key political figures.
24	Taking over the control or allegiance of those who monitor international finance and
25	shifting them to a less precarious finance standard.
26	
28	

1	182.In paragraph 120 of Exhibit "E" THE SCIENTOLOGY DEFENDANTS "FAIR GAME"
2	POLICIES AND PRACTICES, Berry states, The policy and practice of the Scientology
4	Defendants, in "utterly destroying" persons such as Plaintiff (Berry) is further set forth in a
5	Guardian Office (CEO 011272 LRH) entitled "Confidential; BLACK PROPAGANDA" also
6	known as "Black PR" short for "Black Propaganda." In that order, the Scientology Defendants
7 8	are instructed that "Black Propaganda is a covert communication of false info intended to injure,
9	impede, or destroy the activities or life of another person, group or nation, usually issued from a
10	false or removed source from the actual instigator."
11 12	183.In paragraph 130 of Exhibit "E" THE SCIENTOLOGY DEFENDANTS "FAIR GAME"
13	POLICIES AND PRACTICES, Berry continues, "the Scientology Defendants also have a
14 15	policy and practice a set forth in an issue of 27 March 1972 entitled "Counter Attack Tactics,
16	stating that: "those who attack Scientology are proven suppressive." Part of this policy
17	involves the destruction of critic's careers. "These persons can always lose their jobs. These
18	jobs, permitting them power to destroy, are valuable to them. This is A POINT OF
20	VULNERABILITY. If person's job is also not valuable to him or if he cannot be cost his job,
21	something can be found which he is seeking to protect and it can be threatened."
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Declaration of Robert J.Cipriano .

Page 64

v. Glenn Barton, wherein he quotes a Declaration of United States District Court Judge Ideman, in Religious Technology Center, et al (Scientology) v. Scott No. 94-55781 "(Scientology has) utilized every devise that we on the District Court have ever heard of to avoid such compliance, and some that are new to us. This noncompliance has consisted of evasion, misrepresentations, broken promises and lies....(Scientology) by this tactic had had the effect of massively increasing the costs of the other parties, and for a while, to the Court....Yet it is all puffery--motions without merit or substance.."

187.On March 8th 1999, Moxon asked me to work as an operative for Scientology on ECT, a

company that manufactures and distributes electro-shock machines used by mental health facilities. Moxon wanted me to obtain internal documents from The State Department Of Mental Health, VA Hospital, UCLA, and various other hospitals, these documents included approved consent forms. I told him, "I will think about it, that I had just about had it with Scientology and all of its games."

188.On or about March 12th 1999, I received a call from my friend, in Palm Springs, Donald Snodgrass. He advised me that he had a partnership with Lassen Galleries and was ready to open an art gallery in Palm Springs. He asked me to come back to Palm Springs and assist him in running the gallery. Since I was receiving no help, calls or any assistance from Moxon, I decided to take the offer. I moved back to Palm Springs on or about March 12, 1999, and began to work with Snodgrass. Moxon and I had two or three email and phone communications between March 1999, and June 1999. I advised Moxon of my move to Palm Springs. I requested that Moxon give me and the Board of Directors an immediate resignation from Day Of The

4 5

Child World Concert, Inc. Moxon provided the resignation.

189.On March 20th 1999, I emailed Mr. Moxon responding to a phone message from him. I advised him of my need for monies as per our previous agreement. I state in the last line, "I also think that I was there for the cause-100% and by all appearances-everyone should be pretty happy with my performance." On March 21 1999, Mr. Moxon emailed me in return with, "Got It. Please give me a call so we can handle the details."

190. May and June, 1999, I spent in Palm Springs trying to get out from under the 1050 Racquet Club Road house and keep Day Of The Child up and running. I informed Moxon in an email dated May 10, 1999, that I had a possible new partner named Roy Webb and we were working on an agreement. Moxon indicated that the house needed to be resolved.

191. Finally on June 7, 1999, I sent an email to Moxon begging for food and gas money. I advised him that Day Of The Child was in Roy Webb's hands and that I was moving the operation up to Anaheim to keep Day Of The Child alive. I asked for \$500.00. Moxon sent \$195.00 by Western Union. Webb called Moxon after my move to Anaheim and requested \$1,000.00 for me to restart my life after the past several years, and Judy Ross, Moxon's legal secretary, arranged for a check to be made payable to Professional Management, Roy Webb's company, in the amount of \$800.00.

192.On June 10, 1999, I called Berry from Palm Springs to his Santa Monica home and left a message on his phone machine. Berry called me back on Friday June 11, 1999 and we arranged to meet at Izzy's Deli in Santa Monica on Saturday afternoon June 12, 1999. I told Berry we needed to talk.

24

26

27

28

193.I arrived at Izzy's Restaurant early to inspect the premises to make sure I was not walking into any type of sting with anyone. I sat facing the front door so I could see who was coming and going. Berry arrived and we proceeded to have a two-hour lunch. I told Berry that in May 1994, I had been operating a company called CDG, in New York City. During that time Eugene Ingram had knocked on his door and flashed an LAPD detective's badge. That we spent some time talking about Jerome Spiegelman and the problems that he had had. The next day Ingram turned up at his office with a declaration for him to sign. Berry asked "are the allegations you made true about me having been with 50 60, 14 to 16-year-old boys?" I told Berry "at that time I knew him in 84/85 I was in a fog with alcohol and cocaine. I told Berry that was one of the low points of his life when I allowed myself to be subject to a lot of pressure, which Ingram brought to bear on me. I made it clear that my declaration and deposition testimony had been fabricated by Ingram and Moxon due to Ingram's "pressure" and for Moxon's money. 194. Moxon called Webb in early July and asked him, "How is Robert's health?" In early to mid July, I was contacted by Joanne Weaton, Eugene Ingram's Scientology operative, via email. I was contacted and responded to Erla Hawkins, who is with the IAS (International Association of Scientologists), who requested that I take a position as head of public relations for Scientology "crusade" in Europe starting July 22nd 1999. The position was for no pay and only a one-way ticket to Europe plus accommodations. Erla Hawkins attempted to have me meet her on a Saturday afternoon in an old, unmarked apartment in an unmarked building behind a schoolhouse and L. Ron Hubbard Way. It is my full belief that if I had attended that phony

Declaration of Robert J.Cipriano .

meeting, I may never have come back alive.

1 195. On July 11, 1999, I signed a Declaration stating that several weeks earlier, I had contacted 2 Berry and asked if he would meet with me. I advised Berry that Moxon was no longer my 3 attorney. Because I was completely at Moxon's mercy, I retained Berry, in a limited interim 4 5 capacity to assist me in finding other representation. I needed a lawyer quickly and did not have 6 the funds to compensate one to represent me on matters including: the investigation and filing of 7 a potential lawsuit against Moxon & Kobrin and Bowles & Hayes for legal malpractice, and 8 among other things, engaging in a undisclosed conflict of interest. Further that Berry was to 10 represent me in connection with communications with law enforcement and other authorities in 11 relation to matters involving David Miscavige, Michael Rinder, Samuel D. Rosen, Barbara 12 13 Reeves, Kendrick Moxon, Helena Kobrin, Timothy Bowles, Steven Hayes, Eugene Ingram, 14 Geoffrey Barton, Isadore Chait, John Travolta and other. Additionally, I engaged Berry to 15 represent me in all initial communications with the media regarding The Day Of The Child 16 17 charity and the Church Of Scientology's adverse impact upon its' activities, in part because of 18 Kendrick Moxon's connection; communication with Moxon & Kobrin, Eugene Ingram, Hayes & 19 Bowles and their agents and representatives; in locating other counsel to represent me as the 20 21 need may arise; safeguarding my files in these matters. 22 **196.** I also requested that Berry prepare a Substitution Of Attorney to put me in pro per or 23 24 represented by other counsel. Additionally, I executed a Judgement in his favor in the Berry v. 25 Cipriano litigation, and a Stipulation setting aside the previous Dismissal and Award Of Costs 26 against Mr. Berry and Mutual General Releases for signature by each of us. I made it clear in 27

this Declaration that Berry was to take no steps as to any of these matters until I authorized him

Declaration of Robert J.Cipriano .

28

to do so. I understood that Berry was to prepare a more comprehensive standard retainer Agreement for execution by him and me.

197.On July 11, 1999, I executed a letter to Kendrick Moxon, drafted by Berry directing Moxon to immediately turnover to my new attorney Graham E. Berry, any and all documents of any nature concerning me in any way, whether personally or any corporation in which I had interest. In this letter I advised Moxon on the applicable laws regarding destruction or spoliation of potential evidence in potential civil and criminal matters. I further advised Moxon that neither Moxon nor his representatives are to try to contact me in any way, at any time, or in any manner. I advised Moxon that I would not hesitate to seek any appropriate restraining orders and law enforcement protection and assistance. Further, I stated that failure to immediately return all files and communications (by 5:00 p.m. on the day that this letter was communicated to him) would result in Berry's immediately seeking appropriate law enforcement, judicial and disciplinary assistance to obtain their immediate possession and all my records.

198.I dismissed Moxon/Soter as my attorneys on July 11, 1999.

199. Since July 11, 1999, I have been under surveillance and am followed daily by various autos. I cannot stress enough that the acts of this fanatic organization and its lawyers and officials have caused serious harm to me and to my organization, Day Of The Child. I organized, created and built Day Of The Child to assist hundreds of authentic children's charities in the United States. The acts of Moxon and Ingram as lawyer and operative for the Church of Scientology and its many divisions have been literally grossly offensive and illegal. As a result of their actions, Day Of The Child has been refused a general 501c (3) status, which turned one of the foremost

fundraising concert events into nothing more than a waste of time. The Church of Scientology has turned 500 children's charities one-chance fortune to share equally in a global event's income into broken promises to every child in America that depends on the deeds of people who truly care.

200.Furthermore, I have learned in the past year that the deepness of Scientology's terror reaches into the lives of children, which I will never stand by and tolerate. I have learned that children are held in paramilitary camps and are forced to suffer cruel and inhuman acts while under the armed guards of Scientology. This may be a customary practice in Scientology, however this violates every human rights issue and federal and state law in place today that protects children in America.

201.Day Of The Child has been defiled, and devastated by the actions of the Church Of Scientology, however my resolve to assist any child in need cannot be deterred. It is my most sacred desire that this Declaration and the Declaration of August 9, 1999, with actual exhibits, which clearly demonstrate, and detail, will bring attention by the proper law enforcement agencies to investigate and prosecute these monsters.

drafted a Declaration that was completed on August 9, 1999. This Declaration is referred to throughout this entire Declaration as the August 9, 1999 Robert J. Cipriano Declaration.

203.On August 11, 1999, I sent a letter to Gary Soter with a copy of my letter to Kendrick Moxon of July 11, 1999 with a SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND A NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF COUNSEL for his signature and return to Berry's office. I reminded Soter

202.I while at Berry's home/office, under protection from Moxon and Scientology, prepared and

26

27

28

that "because of the extensive criminal and fraudulent conflict that has been perpetrated herein, and as a result of the crime-fraud exception to the attorney-client privilege, there can be no attorney-client/attorney work product privilege as between any other client in relation to this or related matters. Accordingly, I insist on the delivery of all communications between representatives of the Church Of Scientology, Mr. Moxon and other relating in any way to the matters herein or plaintiff Graham E. Berry."

enclosures of my JULY 11, 1999 DECLARATION AND SUBSTITUTION OF ATTORNEY dated August 11, 1999. Berry requests, "where he may take delivery of each and every piece of paper (with attorney/client, attorney work product or public record" relating to your previous representation of Mr. Cipriano."

205. On August 11, 1999 at approximately 8:30 p.m. Moxon repossessed my leased Saturn without notice or my prior consent. Moxon had never even seen or ridden in the car that he told me to select for myself, at his expense, nearly one-year prior. For Moxon to take it the very day he learned of my decision to honor the truth both admitted to the felony and smells of an ill thought desperate act of further witness intimidation. Additionally, upon my taking note that me car was missing, within one hour, a private investigator was stationed immediately outside Berry's home/office with some of my personal belongings in his van. He was returning same to me; however, all of my belongings were not returned.

206. On August 12, 1999, Berry sent Via Facsimile To All Counsel in the Cipriano v. Cipriano and Related Cases a letter that stated that he was giving Notice that at 8:30 a.m. on August 13,

documents.

1999, Plaintiff would appear in Department 35 to seek, in addition to an order permitting an excess page brief, as already noticed, orders that requested the following:

- a) Kendrick L. Moxon, Esq. and Gary S. Soter, Esq. immediately turn over all of Cipriano's files and documents;
- b) That all parties and their counsel preserve all documents, materials and other things relating to any of the parties, or their counsel, in these consolidated cases;
- c) An order restraining Kendrick Moxon, Eugene Ingram, the churches and corporations of Scientology, and anyone acting in concert with them from approaching within 50 feet (except in a courtroom), of Robert Cipriano, attempting to communicate with him, other than through counsel designated by him, or in any other was attempting to harass or intimidate him.
- d) These orders are sought, *inter alia*, on the grounds that during the evening of August 11, 1999, Eugene Ingram attempted to intimidate Robert Cipriano and an employee of Graham E. Berry, Esq. and Kendrick L. Moxon seized, from outside Graham Berry's home/office, the car Kendrick Moxon had leased in October 1998 and which has been driven at all times by Robert Cipriano since then and, which is material evidence of witness tampering, subornation of perjury, obstruction of justice and other criminal conduct herein, and that Kendrick Moxon has seized other items of evidence from said vehicle and has not returned them to Robert Cipriano such items potentially being evidence relating to criminal conduct herein; and that Kendrick Moxon, Esq. has advised Graham Berry that he cannot read or understand communications from Robert Cipriano requiring the preservation and immediate turnover of all of Cipriano's files and other

207. On August 12, 1999, Moxon sent a letter to Berry responding to Berry's letter requesting my files returned. Moxon states "I am happy to produce Cipriano's client records to him. It is unusual to say the least, for you as the opposition attorney and opposition party to demand my former client's files, asserting that you know represent Cipriano in the suit you filed against him, and from which he was dismissed several months ago. Be that as it may, I require an original, notarized letter from Cipriano requesting his files before I copy them and provide them to you or to him. The circumstances herein, and my responsibility to ensure that I not deny Cipriano's legal rights, certainly warrant protecting me and my firm from giving records to an adversary." 208. On August 12, 1999, following Moxon's letter to Berry, Berry responded with a letter faxed to Moxon at 213-487-4468, wherein Berry states, "This letter responds to your letter faxed to my office today, which as always, contains many false statements." First, I am delighted that you are happy to produce Cipriano's client records to him. I agree that it very unusual foe a lawyer such as yourself to all but destroy opposing counsel, such as myself, through blackmail, bribery, witness tampering, subornation of perjury, obstruction of justice, false criminal complaints, false state bar complaints, abuse of process, bankruptcy court fraud (non-dischargability motion) and insurance fraud (\$700,000 settlement demand). Be that as it may, you will receive an original notarized letter from Cipriano tomorrow morning, August 13,1999. The circumstances herein certainly enrage him, as you will no doubt learn from his forthcoming breach of fiduciary duty and professional negligence claims against you. Be that as it also may, such is probably the least of your current concerns.

11

25

26

27

28

27

28

209. On August 12, 1999 I filed a JOINDER AND OPPOSITION OF DEFENDANT ROBERT J. CIPRIANO IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF GRAHAM E. BERRY'S OPPOSITION TO PETITION TO FIND GRAHAM E. BERRY TO BE A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT; REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF HEARING, in which, I attached as Exhibit A my July 13, 1999 Declaration. I state, "as set forth in paragraph 3 of my declaration, dated July 13, 1999 and attached as Exhibit "A", I am terrified of what Eugene Ingram and Kendrick Moxon and other involved with the Church of Scientology may do to me, as soon as they are aware of my truthful testimony herein. Accordingly, I have delayed filing this opposition and Joinder until more appropriate arrangements can be made for my personal security and safety, and my cooperation with law enforcement authorities in connection with Defendants and their counsel's criminal conduct as set forth in my declaration. Accordingly, I request that the hearing on my former counsel, Moxon's petition to find Graham E. Berry to be a vexatious litigant be continued until such time as it can be determined concurrently with Plaintiff's soon to be filed motion for an order to show cause re: contempt, as set forth above." ROBERT J. CIPRIANO Defendant Pro Per. 210.I had learned from numerous conversations with Berry, Jane Scott and Scott Mayer between July 11, 1999 and August 12, 1999 that Scientology had retained a lawyer named Chaleff. I was also informed that Chaleff was also the Chairman of the Los Angeles Police Commission. My

July 11, 1999 and August 12, 1999 that Scientology had retained a lawyer named Chaleff. I wa also informed that Chaleff was also the Chairman of the Los Angeles Police Commission. My dilemma now was, if I went to the Los Angeles Police Department, then Chaleff could and would have advance knowledge of my location and access to me. It appears to me that it is another direct conflict of interest.

1	211.On August 12, 1999, Berry filed a REQUEST FOR JUDGEMENT BY ORAL AND
2	WRITTEN STIPULATION, wherein, we jointly request the "Court to vacate Plaintiff's earlier
4	voluntary dismissal, without prejudice, herein of Defendant Robert J. Cipriano and permit
5	Plaintiff and Defendant Robert J. Cipriano to stipulate to judgement orally before the Court.
6	The Court is further requested to enter judgement pursuant to the terms of the Mutual Release
8	and Settlement Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit "A." The Settlement Agreement, and the
9	request herein, is made upon the grounds set forth in the Declaration of Robert J. Cipriano,
10	dated August 9, 1999, Exhibits 1-50 thereto, and attached to this C.C.P. 664.6 Request as Exhibit
12	"B"
13	212.On August 12, 1999, I filed a DEFENDANT ROBERT J. CIPRIANO'S NOTICE OF
14 15	SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL, wherein I discharged Kendrick L. Moxon and the Law
16	Offices Of Moxon & Kobrin, and Gary S. Soter and the Law Offices Of Wasserman, Comden &
17	Casselman as my attorneys and substituted myself as Defendant Pro per and requested that all
18	oral and written communications be made to me care of The Law Offices Of Graham E. Berry.
20	213. On August 12, 1999, Berry gave notice of his intention to appear on Monday August 16,
21	1999 at 8:30 a.m. for:
22	a) an order to show why all of the named defendants and their counsel should not be held in
24	contempt;
25	b) sanctions pursuant to C.C.P. 128.5 and 128.7;
26	c) vacation of all pleadings and papers filed herein by the named defendants and their counsel, as
28	well as any order issued as a result thereof; and

Declaration of Robert J.Cipriano.

Page 75

Declaration of Robert J.Cipriano.

Page 76

which concern the merits of the case or the pending motion must be accompanied by requests to seal the record. e)All parties and/or counsel who appeared at the August 16, 1999 hearing may apply to the court for a return of costs and attorneys' fees incurred. Graham E. Berry is ordered to show cause why monetary sanctions should not be imposed. The hearing shall proceed on Friday, August 20, 1999 commencing at 8:30 a.m. All requests for monetary sanctions shall be filed and served upon Mr. Berry not later than Wednesday August 18, 1999 at 4:30 p.m. f)Mr. Berry may file and serve his opposition to the request for sanctions not later than the commencement of the August 20, 1999 hearing. 217. On August 17, 1999, a letter was prepared by Berry and faxed to Hon Janet Reno, United States Attorney General Department Of Justice at 202-514-4371 and addressed to 10th Street and Constitution Avenue N.E. Washington, D.C. 20530. The letter states, "I have been advised that a copy of a Declaration of Robert J. Cipriano was handed to you personally in Los Angeles, California on Sunday August 15, 1999. It is also posted to the Internet at http://www.lermanet.com/reference/cipriano.html. Going to www.deja.news.com and doing a complete search of the name Robert Cipriano can see the worldwide horror it has generated. The Cipriano Declaration was provided to the Los Angeles office of the FBI on the morning of August 11, 1999. It was provided, along with other documents, and a meeting with Mr. Cipriano, with FBI agent, David Cloney (310-996-3458 (Violent Crimes). Today I was advised that he was forwarding it to the Obstruction of Justice Unit. In the meantime, the Church of

218.On August 17, 1999 I filed a DECLARATION OF GRAHAM E. BERRY that was

20 21 22

23 24 25

26 27

28

car Mr. Moxon leased for material witness, Robert J. Cipriano), witnesses have been harassed and intimidated, a thinly disguised death threat has been received, etc etc. The assistance of the FBI is desperately needed, along with the Department of Justice, to prevent further Church of Scientology witness intimidation, tampering and harassment, and to investigate the serious crimes that have occurred here.

submitted in opposition to the Court's reported ruling on August 16, 1999 at 8:30 a.m. as reported to Berry by Gary S. Soter. There had been a number of **Ex Parte** applications filed in my case. Each of those Ex Parte applications was heard at the end of the Court calendar generally after 9:30 a.m., and frequently after 10:00 a.m. Berry, having given proper notice of an Ex Parte application being filed, traveled from Santa Monica to downtown Los Angeles. He departed at 7:45 a.m. and was delayed in a traffic jam. He arrived at the Courthouse just after 8:30 a.m. and then was further delayed by the line outside the newly installed metal detectors, and again delayed in the Clerk's office while paying the Ex Parte application fee. Berry arrived at Department 35 between 8:45 a.m. and 8:50 a.m. Counsel on other matters were waiting in the Courtroom.

219. Berry was advised by the Clerk that Ex Parte are heard at 8:30 a.m. that opposing counsel had been and gone, and that the matter had been continued to Friday. Notwithstanding, the Ex Parte moving papers were filed in the expectation of giving due notice for Wednesday at 8:30 a.m.

27

28

220.Good grounds existed for it to be granted. Moxon/Soter still refused and failed to comply with my written, notarized and oral instructions to immediately turn over my files. I was rightly concerned as to the preservation of their contents by Moxon, whose principal office is within the Church of Scientology's Office Of Special Affairs.

221.Berry, upon returning to his home/office returned two telephone calls. The first was to Elliot Abelson, who practices principally within the Office of Special Affairs, who told Berry he was calling because he was "worried about him."

could seek sanctions for his bringing an Ex Parte application, that no further Ex Parte's were to be filed before Friday, August 19, 1999, and that any papers were to be filed UNDER SEAL. Berry told Soter that this was an outrageous breach of his duty to his former client, who was being intimidated, harassed, and denied his files. Furthermore, that I had learned that Moxon had been communicating with a material witness.

222. Berry further told Soter, "one of his assistants had received what he perceived to be a death threat the previous evening," that "he was receiving frequent calls with no one on the line. Soter told Berry he was concerned about my statement in my August 9, 1999 Declaration regarding his participation in the events. Berry told Soter that he was unaware, on the basis of what he had been told and seen, that Soter was personally involved in the matters complained of, in contrast to the Moxon & Kobrin, and Paul Hastings' law firms. Berry and I subsequently drew these further developments to the attention of law enforcement parties and the United States Department of Justice.

223. Berry told Soter that last year, Mr. Scali, his then law partner, endeavored to file a confidential document before this Court and was told that it did not accept filings under seal. The Court confirmed this on the record. When advised by law enforcement that the best protection against intimidation and harassment is "publication" and "high profile," Berry told Soter that it was mind boggling that he was now attempting to sweep these unlawful matters under the carpet. Particularly, when the life of his former client was being threatened in relation to the matters herein.

224.Following Berry's telephone call with Soter, Berry telephoned the clerk of the court and was advised that the Court did not wish to hear these matters before the end of the week and he should call the police.

225.Late in the day on August 17, 1999, and after the Berry/Soter telephone call, Berry received a fax from Soter at Wasserman, Comden & Casselman stating that Soter was "particularly troubled about the accusations which you and Mr. Cipriano have leveled against me and my law firm. You and Mr. Cipriano are certainly aware that we represented Mr. Cipriano's interests professionally and ethically. Indeed, the recent Declaration of Robert J. Cipriano contains no factual statements to the contrary. You acknowledged during our telephone conference that you were not aware of any instance of impropriety or professional conduct as it relate to our representation of Mr. Cipriano. Yet, paragraph 4 falsely represents that I destroyed Mr. Cipriano's personal and professional life and that I am an official or follower of the Church of Scientology and their Office of Special Affairs. Neither statement is true and I demand that you and Mr. Cipriano immediately retract these defamatory statements.

28

226. Soter goes on to say in his fax communication, "With respect to your demand for release of Mr. Cipriano's legal files to you, this letter shall memorialize the fact that we have jointly agreed that you and Mr. Cipriano will be satisfied if we produce the legal files in Department 35 by August 20, 1999. The court will then decide whether to allow you to have access to the files. I request that you and Mr. Cipriano immediately withdraw your request for a restraining order against me or the law firm. We have not had any communication with Mr. Cipriano since you filed your request for voluntary dismissal. No threat has ever been communicated from our office, express or implied. Indeed, we have not received any communication from Mr. Cipriano since the filing of the dismissal. Since you have instructed us not to contact Mr. Cipriano, we cannot even confirm that you are acting pursuant to his instructions. To say the least, your stipulation with Mr. Cipriano is highly irregular and, we believe improper. Please advise me by Tuesday August 17, 1999 at 4:30 p.m. whether you and Mr. Cipriano will now formally withdraw your request for monetary and other sanctions, against Gary S. Soter and Wasserman, Comden & Casselman. In the closing portion of this fax communication, Soter goes on to state in a P.S. During our conversation, you advised me that you filed Mr. Cipriano's declaration with the clerk in Department 35 after the court granted our request for an order sealing the records. We therefore demand that you place said documents under seal immediately." 227.On August 19, 1999 I filed a NOTICE OF FILING OF STATEMENT OF **DISQUALIFICATION** with the Superior Court Of The State Of California For The County Of Los Angeles - Honorable Presiding Judge in Department 1. Attention was drawn to the **JOINT**

WRITTEN VERIFIED STATEMENTS OF GRAHAM E. BERRY AND ROBERT

1 CIPRIANO to disqualify Hon. Alexander H. Williams, III from any further adjudication in these 2 matters, including hearing the Petition of the Scientology parties to have Berry deemed a 3 Vexatious Litigant. The purpose of these filings, being because it was learned that Bridge 4 5 Publications, Inc. is one of the corporations that is under the auspices of Church of Scientology 6 International. Moxon works within the Office of Special Affairs of the Church of Scientology 7 International, and regularly appears on its behalf. Judge Alexander Williams, III, disclosed that 8 9 his fiancé', who may now be his wife, is an interpreter, who regularly provides contract 10 translation services to Bridge Publications, Inc. Judge Williams has also disclosed that his 11 former law clerk, is an employee of the law firm of Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker, the other 12 13 law firm against which I allege serious misconduct. 14 228. Further, Berry and I submitted these papers to the presiding judge because of the gravity of 15 the matters set forth therein, the events that have occurred to date, particularly since August 16, 16 17 1999, threats and intimidation that are currently occurring, the "unusual" judicial orders being 18 made, the disappearance of my opposition papers in the Scientology case pending in Department 19 45 (Pattinson v. Miscavige LASC Case No. BC 207364); the existing and increasing 20 21 involvement of law enforcement in these matters; the public discussion and outcry that is 22 commencing as a result of the public airing of matters occurring herein; and upon the further 23 24 grounds that in all the circumstances now known to have occurred herein, and to be occurring, 25 Judge Williams' conduct in his rulings on August 16, 1999 offends all notion of fair play, equity, 26 justice, law, decency and judicial standards. 27

1"

28

229. On August 19, 1999 Berry and I attended at the Los Angeles County Courthouse to file a JOINT VERIFIED STATEMENT OF DISQUALIFICATION of JUDGE ALEXANDER **H. WILLIAMS, III.** Upon prior instructions from courthouse management, we first went to Room 109, but were advised that the disqualification papers had to be files in Department 35 itself. At approximately 11:00 a.m., we walked into Department 35. The door to Judge's chambers was open, counsel could be observed present in chambers, and the Judge's voice could be heard coming from chambers. Robert Lee, the Bailiff, was at his desk and the usual clerk, Frank, was not there. The only other person in the Courtroom was subsequently identified as the temporary clerk, Dominique Elias. Robert Lee initially said he could not take the papers. Robert Lee started to take the papers. Then he excused himself to go through to the Judge. He returned, the telephone rang and he proceeded to talk in inaudible terms, leading me to conclude he was 230. The Judge's temporary clerk, Dominique Elias, refused to accept the disqualification papers. Berry advised him that some of them had been seen by the United States Attorney General herself, that law enforcement was involved, and we were entitled to file the disqualification papers. Berry pointed out to him that the Court's copy had exhibit tabs, original proofs of service and in all respects complied with the Rules. Upon Berry's insistence, he eventually accepted the 231. After ascertaining from Robert Lee and Dominique Elias that the Judge was in chambers and that Dominique Elias was indeed his clerk for the day, at approximately 11:10 a.m., we left Department 35 and proceeded directly to Department 1, where we asked the clerk to receive a

Declaration of Robert J.Cipriano.

28

courtesy copy of the Department 35 filing for the presiding judge. Other than saying he did not need the proposed order, the Department 1 clerk politely and efficiently received the documents without further comment.

232.Between 1:10 p.m. and 1:30 p.m. Berry and I faxed the Notice Of Filing of Statement Of Disqualification to Kendrick L. Moxon, Esq., David Chodos, Esq., Michael Terrill, Esq., Gary S. Soter, Esq., and Gerald Chaleff, Esq.,

233.Berry also personally served each of the documents that were filed in the Court (a) NOTICE OF FILING OF STATEMENT OF DISQUALIFICATION; (b) PROPOSED ORDER ON DISQUALIFICATION; (c) JOINT VERIFIED STATEMENT OF DISQUALIFICATION OF GRAHAM E. BERRY AND ROBERT J. CIPRIANO FOR THE DISQUALIFICATION OF THE HON. ALEXANDER H. WILLIAMS, III; (d) EXHIBITS "A" TO "C" FILED IN SUPPORT OF JOINT VERIFIED STATEMENT OF ROBERT J. CIPRIANO TO DISQUALIFY HON. ALEXANDER H. WILLIAMS, III; and (f) copy of August 16, 1999 Ex Parte applications filed concurrently with Motion to Disqualify Hon. Alexander H. Williams, III. Berry personally served the papers on Gerald L. Chaleff, Esq., at the offices of Orrick, Harrington & Sutcliff, L.L.P. at 2:30 p.m. upon their thirty-second floor receptionist who confirmed that Mr. Chaleff was one of their attorneys and would receive the documents. Berry personally served the papers on Michael Terrill, Esq. by taking them to the receptionist of the Paul, Hastings, Janofsky and Walker law firm, specifically to the twenty-third floor receptionist, at 2:40 p.m. The receptionist said she would see whether Mr. Terrill wanted to

receive the papers or not. Berry told her that he was sorry, but that this was personal service, that

she was the receptionist and that he was serving Mr. Terrill.

234.At 2:55 p.m. Berry went to the designated offices of Kendrick L. Moxon, Esq., at 3055 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900, Los Angeles, California 90010. This was identified as the Wilshire Executive Suite, and the receptionist, Ana Bonnila, confirmed that he had an office in that suite, but that she had never seen him, there were no cards up for him in the offices, and she was not authorized to sign anything for Mr. Moxon. She took the papers and I asked her to call Mr. Moxon and tell him that they were there. At 3:27 p.m., Berry went to the offices of Simke Chodos, at 1880 Century Park East, Suite 1511, and served the papers upon a receptionist by the name of Gail. At 4:20 p.m., Berry served the papers at the offices of Wasserman, Comden and Casselman, 5567 Reseda Boulevard, Suite 330, Tarzana, California. The receptionist, who said her name was Melinda, said she would deliver them to Gary S. Soter, Esq.At approximately 4:15 p.m. Berry received a message on his cell phone, from his office, that the clerk in Department 35 had called and left a message that Judge Williams had "struck" the papers from the Court file and ordered him to be in Court at 8:30 on August 20, 1999.

235. When Berry returned to his home/office at approximately 5:20 p.m. after personally serving the papers as described, he began receiving an avalanche of telephone calls, resulting from press releases that we knew nothing about. The first call he received was from a wire service. Surprised, Berry asked them to fax a copy of the press release. Berry did not know who the author was. Neither Berry nor I provided any further information to the wire service. Copies of the filing has not been provided to anyone other than Department 35, Department 1 and the persons served with the papers at each of the law firms. The intent was to provide them to law

28

enforcement, which were currently in possession of the August 9, 1999 Cipriano Declaration and certain other documents relating to the matters herein and certain of Defendants' counsel herein. The documents were also with the highest levels of the United States Department Of Justice. 236. The Ex Parte application and moving papers were provided to the United States Attorney General (Janet Reno) personal office prior to us receiving receipt of the Court's order prohibiting us from disseminating anything to do with these matters. Upon the record, and in the presence of Moxon and Ava Paquette, the United States Trustee of the Bankruptcy Court was also requested to refer Moxon's conduct in relationship to me, in which Moxon sought to have the Barton and Chait prevailing party cost awards declared non-dischargeable, to the Federal Bureau of Investigation as bankruptcy fraud, along with Moxon's \$700,000 settlement demand. 237. On August 20, 1999 there was a hearing in Los Angeles Supreme Court where Moxon had petitioned the court to have Berry Declared a Vexatious Litigant. I appeared at this hearing having provided the previous August 9, 1999 Declaration detailing Moxon and Scientology's illegal conduct of suborning perjury, bribery and threats and attempted to advise the court of my situation. I formally opposed Moxon's Motion to have Berry Declared a Vexatious Litigant by my own attorney, and I was refused the opportunity to bring forth this evidence. 238. Judge Williams also refused to allow me to address the Court regarding the motion that had been filed, and that he was refusing to hear, a motion to have Moxon ordered to return my files that he had so far refused to do and still, to this date, has not returned.. I near as I could tell, having been in many courtrooms myself in my prior work as a litigation paralegal, this was a "kangaroo court" before which Berry did not have a chance of a fair and unprejudiced hearing.

Judge Williams also stunned me by declaring that he thought he had seen my [August 9, 1999] declaration but that it was "irrelevant", that he was in his final term on the bench, that he did not have to face the voters again and that he could behave like a "federal judge" while sitting in the state court.

239.Thereafter, Berry was found to be a Vexatious Litigant and has been professionally harmed in the legal field.

240. On September 3, 1999, Berry received the following from, The Court Of Appeal Of The State Of California Second Appellate District Division Seven in the matter of Graham E. Berry, Petitioner, vs. Superior Court Of the State Of California For The County Of Los Angeles, Respondent Robert J. Cipriano et al., Real Parties In Interest, the Court has read and considered the perdition for writ of mandate filed herein August 30, 1999. The petition is denied. .I note, that Judge Alexander Williams, III has stated in Proceeding Transcript dated, Thursday August 3, 1998 in the matter of Graham E. Berry v. Glenn Barton that (page 26 lines 14-28 and 27 lines 1-4) "I am a passionate believer in the First Amendment, and I've been its victim as much as I have been its champion. But I believe that what happens in the public realm should be in the public realm. There's no question that there's great capacity for harm in such publicity. Indeed, that's the graveman of the Defendant's lawsuit here, is defamation and associated complaints. I don't think I legally can nor would I be philosophically inclined to make any order about discussing publicity that which goes on here. I'm one of the few judges in California who still believes in cameras in court. I don't think the shoot the messenger. You make the message right. I will not, as I mentioned earlier, be at all inclined to seal anything that

is read on the record here. I do urge everyone to remember that the difference between what you can do and what you choose to do is called judgement. I find it highly irregular that this Judge Alexander Williams, III. would feel so passionately about Free Speech and publicity and then Seal the records in Berry v. Cipriano, when it came to a possible conspiracy which alleges most of the Defendant's in the related cases of Berry v. Barton and Berry v. Miscavige and his possible participation either directly or indirectly.

242.On August 20, 1999 I executed a MUTUAL RELEASE AND SETTLEMENT

AGREEMENT between Graham E. Berry and myself. The Agreement stated the following:

INTENT OF THE PARTIES. It is the intent of the parties hereto that Robert J. Cipriano correct the record herein, and do all such things to make amends to Plaintiff, to expressly apologize to Plaintiff and to try and remedy the irreparable damage to Plaintiff's reputation, career and life, that Robert J. Cipriano's involuntary participation in the matters giving rise to this litigation has caused. In exchange therefore, Plaintiff accepts the apology and amends of Robert J. Cipriano and agrees not to execute this Stipulated Judgment on Robert J. Cipriano and executes the mutual general releases contained herein. Accordingly, it is the intent of the parties hereto, that this Agreement is to terminate all litigation and claims that may exist between them, as of the date hereof, and in relation to those matters giving rise to this litigation.

(a)CONSIDERATION Defendant Cipriano stipulates to the entry of judgment against him herein, in the amount of ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY MILLION DOLLARS (\$120,000,000). The aforesaid sum of \$120,000,000 is calculated as follows: In the cases of

<u>Christofferson v. Church of Scientology</u> and <u>Wollersheim v. Church of Scientology</u>, the trial

1

juries returned verdicts in the amount of \$39 million dollars and \$30 million dollars respectively in connection with covert operations of the Church of Scientology, including by not limited to the application of its "fair game" practices and policies against critics and lawyers adversely engaged in litigation against it. Notwithstanding such unmitigated jury condemnation of the "fair game policies and practices" of the Church of Scientology, it continues to use them and has used them against Plaintiff herein and in connection with cases and complaints filed before other courts and regulatory authorities.. Accordingly, Defendant Robert J. Cipriano stipulates that a judgment in similar amount, in favor of Plaintiff, would be appropriate, together with punitive damages of three times that amount, aggregating approximately \$120 million dollars without any adjustment for inflation since the Christofferson (1979) and Wollersheim (1986) judgments. Plaintiff shall file a Motion for Good Faith Settlement Determination (C.C.P. 877.6) concerning the settlement herein. (b)DISMISSAL OF ALL CLAIMS Plaintiff agrees to dismiss with prejudice, any and all claims against Defendant Robert J. Cipriano (Berry v. Cipriano (LASC No. BC 184355), arising from his involvement concerning this litigation, and Defendant Cipriano agrees to forego any counterclaims against Plaintiff, as a full and complete settlement of all claims of the parties hereto known or unknown, and as full consideration for release as set forth below. (c) RELEASE OF DEFENDANT ROBERT J. CIPRIANO In consideration of the promises and agreements contained herein, Plaintiff, for himself, his heirs, personal representatives, successors, assignees, and transferees, fully and forever releases and further discharges Defendant Robert J. Cipriano and his successors, heirs, assignees and transferees, from any and

17

27 28

26

all cause or causes of action, suits, claims, demands, obligations, liabilities, damages, liens, contracts, agreements, promises, losses, costs, sanctions, or expenses, of any nature, whatsoever, whether known or unknown, whether fixed or contingent, whether at law or in equity, arising out of or in any way connected or related to, all claims or actions arising out of the matters giving rise to this litigation. This release shall be effective forthwith.

(d)WAIVER OF CIVIL CODE SECTION 1542. Each of the parties hereto do expressly waive the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which states the following: A general release does not extend to claims which a creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the Debtor.

(e)NON-RELEASE OF DEFENDANT'S AGENTS. Plaintiff, and Defendant Robert J.

Cipriano, do not intend, or agree, that the mutual general releases contained herein, extend to anyone other than Plaintiff and Defendant Robert J. Cipriano personally. Accordingly, it is the express intent of the parties hereto that this Agreement not release, or dismiss any claims of any nature whatsoever, that either Plaintiff or Defendant Robert J. Cipriano may have against any other persons, or entities, including but not limited to, the law firms of Bowles & Moxon, Moxon & Kobrin, Wasserman, Comden & Casselman, Paul, Hastings, Janofsky and Walker, Eugene Ingram, Timothy Bowles, Kendrick L. Moxon, Helena Kobrin, Gary Soter, Samuel D. Rosen, Barbara A. Reeves, Michael Terrill, Isadore Chait, Glenn Barton, Michael Rinder and David Miscavige.

/

222324

21

2627

28

25

Declaration of Robert J.Cipriano .

(f)COVENANT NOT TO EXECUTE. Plaintiff hereby agrees, represents, warrants and covenants that he will not execute the judgment stipulated to herein against Defendant Robert J. Cipriano .

243. On or about August 2, 1999, I had been pushed to my emotional and physical limits with the facts that no matter what remedies that were being sought, I was now totally subject to the full wrath of Moxon and Scientology. Law Enforcement, and all other avenues had been exhausted and I was still unguarded. What I believed to be justice was totally shattered. In total fear of Scientology, I concluded that I better align myself back with Moxon for physical protection. Foolishly, I contacted Moxon at home and told him where I was and to arrange for me to be picked up. At this point, Berry and his friend and assistant Jane Scott attempted to talk me out of leaving, stating "Scientology is going to kill you, you are going to be set up, you will never be found alive again." This enraged and frightened me even further. I proceeded to attempt to unlock the door at Berry's condo and head down toward the street. Berry followed with Jane Scott. As I reached the front door of Berry's building the Santa Monica police were coming up the sidewalk. I learned later that Berry had called the police in the interim. I explained to the police my concerns and demanded that I be allowed to leave. At this point Scott Mayer came down the stairs to where the police, Jane and Berry and I were standing with two hard wood sticks, he used a self-defense weapons. I still do not know if he was intending to utilize the weapons to restrain me or to fight off any unwanted attacks. Berry, Jane Scott and the police told him to return upstairs with the weapons. The police advised Berry that he could not detain me, and I left via a cab. I immediately went to my friend's home in Marina Del Rey and

1 2 3 4 5 6 244.I awoke early Sunday morning, absolutely devastated emotionally and completely confused 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

26

27

28

contacted Moxon. Moxon made arrangements with a private investigator to immediately pick me up in Marina Del Rey and hide me in a hotel at LAX airport. I was told that I would be flown out first thing in the morning (Sunday), after I met with an old attorney on Wilshire Boulevard, who would make everything all right.

as to who was on my side and who was against me. I called Berry at home and gave him my location. Berry said stay by the phone; I would receive a call from a lawyer that Berry worked with named Raymond, who I had met before. Ray called and stayed on the phone with me for approximately 45 minutes, talking me down and keeping me calm. While Ray was on the phone Moxon's private investigator, Edwin Richardson, started knocking on the door insistently. Richardson started slamming the door and yelling for me to open the door. As Richardson was slamming on the door, which Ray, being on the phone with me could hear, Berry and Jane Scott arrived. Richardson returned to his car and Berry removed me from the hotel and ushered me to Berry's jeep. I noticed that Richardson was on a cell phone in his car as we pulled out and returned to Berry's home.

245.Later that day, I was relocated to Ray's home in the mid-Wilshire District where I passed out for almost two days from exhaustion. Approximately three days after arriving at Ray's home, I was relocated to a one-bedroom pool house in Reseda, California owned by Jacque Howlett and Sandt Litchfield, friends of Berry.

246.On September 3, 1999, Berry, once again wrote Soter requesting the recovery of my files. Additionally, Berry wrote Moxon requesting recovery of my files. Both letters were faxed.

26

27

28

247.On September 7, 1999, Soter responded with a facsimile letter that stated, "We expressly agreed to allow Judge Williams decide whether the files should be released to you. In accordance with our agreement, we delivered the files to the courtroom prior to the hearing. The files were returned to us after the hearing. We have no means of verifying that you actually speak for Mr. Cipriano given your inconsistent statements on this issue, your demand that we not communicate with Mr. Cipriano and the implied threats to sue us if we comply with your instructions. You cannot ethically represent Mr. Cipriano and it would be unethical for us to play a willing part in an ethical violation. We suggest that the matter be resolved by Judge Williams or by the State Bar. If Mr. Cipriano is not satisfied with this response, I request that he contact us personally." 248. On September 7, 1999 Berry sent a letter to Commander McMurray of the Los Angeles Police Department and Hon. William "Bill" Lockyer, Attorney General State Of California, requesting law enforcement intervention, stating "because of the activities directed at Cipriano, which leads him to be in fear for his physical safety, it is urgent that law enforcement intervenes immediately to protect Cipriano and his evidence, and that of other persons involved." 249. On September 20, 1999 Berry filed a NOTICE OF APPEAL from the Order of Alexander H. Williams, III entered on August 20, 1999 declaring him to be a vexatious litigant upon the motion of Defendants Church of Scientology International, Glenn Barton, Isadore Chait and David Chodos, Esq., appearing upon his own behalf without notice, motion or Joinder.

250. On September 26, 1999, I drafted and executed another Declaration which stated in further

detail, and with an Exhibit which was an actual transcript of a taped conversation by and

between Moxon and myself, how the Church, Moxon and Ingram committed an unlawful fair game and dead agenting attack on Berry.

251.On or about October 1, 1999 Scott Mayer traveled from Virgina to Reseda, California and moved in my guesthouse. During his stay he abused alcohol daily and informed me of numerous activities that he conducted when he was a Captain in Scientology under L. Ron Hubbard. He told me that he was sent around the world to assassinate people in what he or they called "death squads". This frightened me as it further convinced me of just how low Scientology could go. Further, that he I was their new enemy, my life was not worth a nickel.

252.On or about October 10, 1999, Berry visited me in Reseda and served me with papers that indicated that I needed to testify (deposition) in Hurtado v. Berry. This enraged me even further, and I decided to throw away all evidence that I had against Moxon, Ingram. I went through all my files throwing out everything that related to Scientology, my case and Berry. I decided to leave Los Angeles forever. With no evidence nobody would bother me again. I sold my expensive Movado watch and gold cross at a pawnshop for \$45.00 to take a bus back to Palm Springs, where my friend, Dawn Rene Oates was waiting for me. I had Jacques Howlett, drive me to the bus station and drop me off. Thereafter I arrived in Palm Springs some six hours later and moved into an apartment with Ms. Oates.

253.I learned that in the December 18-22, 1999 that a front-page cover story was published in New Times publication DOUBLE CROSSED. In the headline read *The Church Of Scientology Has A Reputation Of Ruthlessly Going After Its Enemies, Robert Cipriano Claims That They Rewarded Him To Do Just That...Now He Has Turned On Them.* In this article,

252627

28

the reporter Tony Ortega, detailed some of the facts relating to my relationship with Scientology and Moxon/Ingram. However, the tone of the article was very negative as it referred to me. The reporter interviews Moxon, Berry and other's related to the issues of this Declaration and the August 9, 1999 Cipriano Declaration. In the end of the article an attorney is quoted as saying "The Cipriano declaration is a potentially fatal stab to the heart of Scientology, but, in the hands of Graham Berry, I wonder if it will be used as effectively as it could be." 254.On or about December 23, 1999, Moxon showed up at my new employment in Palm Springs. He asked me to join him at an outside café nearby. He started out by telling me that I was being recorded by a surveillance van with video cameras. This frightened me because I knew that Moxon and Scientology were extremely upset that I decided to come forward and tell the truth. He said that there was an operative watching everything. Moxon opened a briefcase and handed me two documents he wrote, or had written, demanding that I never sue Scientology, himself or anyone else for their legal malpractice and actions. I refused to sign either one and Moxon. Moxon said that he would give me \$800.00 dollars to bribe me into signing their untruthful documents. As I was just starting out with nothing as a result of all of they're threats, intimidation and game playing; I desperately needed the money for rent and food. I accepted the funds and Moxon left. I felt that this might be the end of all of the threats and intimidation. I just wanted all of this to end and attempt to return to a normal life. 255.In early April, 2000 I contacted Berry from Palm Springs and advised him that strange people were around my building and I believed that Scientology was after me again. Berry offered to drive down to Palm Springs and pick me up to drive back to Los Angeles to meet with

26

27

28

his attorney, Edith Matthai, and the Los Angeles District Attorney's office. We arranged to meet the next afternoon at 2:00 p.m. at a public place. That evening, I was falsely arrested for on a domestic violence charge and was incarcerated for 12 days. Therefore, I was not able to meet with Berry. Upon return to my home, I questioned Dawn Rene Oates regarding what had happened while I was away and she informed me that she has spoken with Berry, and Moxon and they "hated me" and wanted nothing to do with me. Finding this hard to believe, I contacted Berry and he said he had not spoken with Dawn Rene Oates. I called Moxon, and he stated, he had not spoken to Dawn Rene Oates, however he said "I did received a phone call message from an Art Cassin from Pennsylvania who was making an inquiry regarding you." 256. Berry informed me that there was a hearing regarding my files personal and Day Of The Child files that were being held by Berry and Berry's counsel as evidence against Moxon, Ingram et al, and that the Judge had not ruled on the matter. Moxon told me that the hearing was held and that the Judge denied the motion to refuse to return my files to my possession. As usual, I was getting conflicting reports as to my belongings, my welfare and activities involving me. According to Dawn Rene Oates, while I was incarcerated Ingram and numerous others have been to my new home, which I rented with the \$800.00 and have attempted to intimidate us. Although my girlfriend has not met Ingram, she describes him in detail.

257.I then re-contacted Berry and said that I still wanted to come to Los Angeles, have my deposition taken, and to meet with law enforcement authorities regarding the matters I have testified to in my August 9, 1999, and September 26, 1999, declarations. I told Berry that I had several requirements; First, I was so terrified of what Moxon and Ingram would try to do to me

that I had to leave Palm Springs when notice of my deposition was given. Second, I wanted my girlfriend to be with me while I was out of Palm Springs, for safety purposes and to help me prepare my self mentally and emotionally to testify at deposition about the matters set forth in my August 9, 1999, and September 26, 1999, declarations. Third, that I did not have the money to travel to Los Angeles, or anywhere else, and stay for the approximately 12 days before and during my deposition.

258. During several telephone conversations in late May 2000, Berry told me that he understood that his insurance carrier would not pay for my accommodation costs in connection with my deposition in the *Hurtado v. Berry* case. Berry told me that, under the circumstances, I could not stay at his apartment, but that he would pay for the travel, accommodations and some food expenses associated with my being subpoenaed and traveling to Los Angeles to give my testimony in the *Hurtado v. Berry* case. I intend to testify, among other things, as to how I helped Moxon and Ingram locate Anthony Apodaca to give testimony against Berry. Berry said it would be very frugal and basic accommodations. Berry wired \$50.00 through Western Union for two Greyhound bus tickets from Palm Springs, California to Los Angeles. Dawn Rene and I arrived in Los Angeles, where Berry was waiting at the bus depot.

259. He drove us to a small motel in Malibu, California called the Topanga Ranch Motel, where he proceeded to pay for ten days of lodging at a weekly room rate of approx.\$400.00. Berry also bought one dinner at Norm's Diner valued at approximately \$15.00 and an additional \$65.00 total for 10 days' of groceries.

1//

260.My deposition was scheduled to be taken on Monday June 12, 2000, at the Robie & Matthai law offices, which represent Berry in the *Hurtado v. Berry* case. I was advised by Kim Sellers, Esq., who was to take my deposition, that Moxon's office had made an unsuccessful *ex parte* application to prevent my deposition from going forward, but that the judge had ordered it to go forward without limitation as to its scope.

261. My deposition commenced at approximately 10.45 A.M. on June 12, 2000. We took a brief

break and then another brief break at about 11:30 A.M. Ava Paquette of the Moxon & Kobrin law firm represented Hurtado. During the approximately 30 minutes of actual deposition time, Sellers had me identify about four documents I had previously signed, including two declarations. I testified that the signature on my retainer agreement with Moxon was not mine, although the terms were accurate. I testified that Moxon had not charged me any money at all for his representation of me in the *Berry v. Cipriano* case and that he had paid for the separate representation of me by Gary Soter, Esq. I agreed that all of my files in the custody of Berry's attorney could be photocopied and held by them and for use by Berry. I also testified that the only legal representation Berry had ever provided me was for the sole purpose of requesting and trying to obtain a court order that Moxon return my files, papers and valuable stock certificates and other securities. Those requests were made during mid to late August 1999.

262. Shortly before we took a break at about 11:30 A.M., I expressly waived the attorney client privilege between myself and Moxon, and the Moxon & Kobrin law firm, except to the extent that I am entitled (potentially along with Moxon) to claim a Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination (but not as to the matters already testified to). I hereby also waive the

1 attorney client privilege with regard to Soter, and the Wasserman, Comden & Casselman law 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 ground. I learned that Webb and Mayer were in contact with Moxon and Berry and had recently 27

28

firm. I again, requested both Moxon and Soter to deliver to me all of my client files and documents, in tape-sealed boxes, to me care of Edith Matthai, Esq., of Robie & Matthai. 263. The deposition resumed at approximately 11:40 A.M. To the best of my recollection, there had been no problems at the deposition and Ms. Paquette had made only one objection, which was a continuing objection as to relevancy. Ms. Paquette put Moxon on a conference call telephone. Moxon said he was in Florida and was going to participate by telephone. He then said he was suspending the deposition. Sellers was outraged. She said he had given ten days notice, his law partner was there and the judge had denied his ex parte application and ordered the deposition to go forward without limitation. Sellers gave Moxon notice of an ex parte motion to allow the deposition to proceed and to award sanctions for what he had just done. **264.** I believe that Moxon terminated my deposition in order to prevent Berry from being able to give a transcript of my testimony to the court which is hearing his Rule 60(b) motion in the Pattinson case. 265.In late June 2000, I contacted Roy Webb in Anaheim and informed him that I needed to return to work to earn a living. He drove to Palm Springs, picked me up and returned me to Anaheim, California. I began working for Webb in a company called Dedicated Security. I learned that Scott Mayer was also working at Webb's office. Mayer and I moved into one of Webb's houses and I worked 13-18 hours a day for two weeks to get this new company off the

attempted to extort \$2500.00 from either/or Moxon/Berry in exchange for data as to my

whereabouts. From what I understand, both parties refused to become involved in the extortion attempt. Upon learning this information, I called Berry and requested that he pick-up Dawn Rene and I at a hotel in Anaheim and transport us to his condo for safekeeping. Dawn Rene stayed approximately 1 week at Berry's condo and elected to return to Palm Springs to be with Art Cassin, leaving me with Berry at his condo.

266.As of August 6, 2000, I am prepared to attend my Deposition on August 7, 2000, in *Hurtado v Berry* and have written this Declaration in preparation of same.

267.But for the conduct, threats, bribery and promises of Moxon and Ingram, I would not have signed the original declaration in May, 1994 or participated in any of the atrocities Moxon and Ingram and others engaged in against Berry.

268.In plain words, I did not go to them. They came to me and commenced the most hateful attack on me in order to professionally and personally destroy Berry. Moxon and Ingram have destroyed my life starting in 5/5/94 and escalated thereafter to this day. I no longer can maintain gainful employment. I cannot have my personal belongings returned, which included several hundred thousand in stock in an unrelated company. Due to the continued fear for my safety and well-being, my mental and physical self have deteriorated to such a point that I have lost all my self-worth. And I can no longer financially or professional exist as part of society in a successful manner.

11/

(y) In Moxon or Soter's representation it was never disclosed to me, or discussed in any manner, that Moxon was a likely percipient witness in the *Berry vs. Cipriano* litigation, was a likely defendant in the *Berry vs. Cipriano* or related *Barton/Miscavige* litigation, was later listed as a defendant in the *Berry vs. Cipriano/Barton and Miscavige* litigation, was representing other parties (Chait, the Church Of Scientology Intl. and others) in the related *Berry vs. Barton* and *Miscavige* cases;

- (z) That the interests of himself and his other clients may conflict with his representation of me;
- (aa) That I should seek independent counsel.
- **270.** The effect of the aforementioned activities leads me to believe that the following was and is a true and accurate factual description of what was really going on:
- (a) Moxon and the Church hired Ingram to get perjury from me. Moxon and Ingram were already on notice of Berry's intention to sue them for conducting their "investigation" of him;
- **(b)** By 1997 I was appalled and being damaged by the worldwide publicity my declaration regarding Berry had generated. I had been told it was for use in a confidential investigation;
- (c) In 1998 Moxon and Ingram got a copy of the draft *Berry v. Cipriano* complaint and went to me. They solicited my representation and continued perjury. Moxon did not disclose his relationship with the Church before signing me up;
- (d) Moxon did not advise me that Moxon and Ingram were not only percipient witnesses but also likely defendants. They did not advise me that they had given my Declaration to *Barton* and the Church (*Miscavige*), which had published it, and still publishes it, causing both Berry and me continuing damage.

perjurious verified complaint against Berry;

- (m) When Moxon, Soter, Paul Hastings, *et al.* drove Berry into dismissal with an avalanche of abusive discovery (on the eve of the Civil Code Section 1714.10 motion being filed to add Moxon and Abelson as defendfants), Moxon misrepresented the fact that the dismissal was "without prejudice."
- (n) Then they proceeded to Petition to have Berry deemed a vexatious litigant for filing the *Berry* v. Cipriano, Barton and Miscavige law suits without consulting with me.
- 271. When I filed my own opposition to the vexatious litigant petition being prosecuted by my own attorney (and Gerry Chaleff, Esq.), Moxon and Soter opposed it and prevailed upon the judge to refuse to let me be heard. Chaleff, the Chairman of the Los Angeles Police Commission, had never appeared in any matter against Berry before. He appeared only for the vexatious litigant petition.
- 272. The judge also denied the joint disqualification petition of Berry and I (based on the Judges fiancée working for the defendant Church of Scientology). "I am in my last term. I do not have to face the voters again. I can do what I like. I am like a Federal judge on the State Court Bench."

 273. I have gone through a great many changes since this series of events commenced. I can only say that it is with my faith in God that I have the strength to come forward and truthfully disclose these matters. In order for me to proceed with my life in a manner that is honest and truthful, I needed to write this Declaration. I simply cannot sit quiet when Berry's life has been all but destroyed, and my life has been seriously damaged. It is my hope that this detailed account of the events will bring the proper light to this organization and the proper judicial

I declare under penalty of perjury, according to the laws of the United States and the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 6th day of August 2000 at Los Angeles, California.

attention where applicable.

